§ 15497.5. Local Control and Accountability Plan and Annual Update Template.

Introduction:

LEA: Method Contact: Jessica Venezia, Executive Director, jessica@methodschools.org, (760) 224-0758 LCAP Year: 2016-2019

Local Control and Accountability Plan and Annual Update Template

The Local Control and Accountability Plan (LCAP) and Annual Update Template shall be used to provide details regarding local educational agencies' (LEAs) actions and expenditures to support pupil outcomes and overall performance pursuant to Education Code sections 52060, 52066, 47605, 47605.5, and 47606.5. The LCAP and Annual Update Template must be completed by all LEAs each year.

For school districts, pursuant to Education Code section 52060, the LCAP must describe, for the school district and each school within the district, goals and specific actions to achieve those goals for all pupils and each subgroup of pupils identified in Education Code section 52052, including pupils with disabilities, for each of the state priorities and any locally identified priorities.

For county offices of education, pursuant to Education Code section 52066, the LCAP must describe, for each county office of education-operated school and program, goals and specific actions to achieve those goals for all pupils and each subgroup of pupils identified in Education Code section 52052, including pupils with disabilities, who are funded through the county office of education Local Control Funding Formula as identified in Education Code section 2574 (pupils attending juvenile court schools, on probation or parole, or mandatorily expelled) for each of the state priorities and any locally identified priorities. School districts and county offices of education may additionally coordinate and describe in their LCAPs services provided to pupils funded by a school district but attending county-operated schools and programs, including special education programs.

Charter schools, pursuant to Education Code sections 47605, 47605.5, and 47606.5, must describe goals and specific actions to achieve those goals for all pupils and each subgroup of pupils identified in Education Code section 52052, including pupils with disabilities, for each of the state priorities as applicable and any locally identified priorities. For charter schools, the inclusion and description of goals for state priorities in the LCAP may be modified to meet the grade levels served and the nature of the programs provided, including modifications to reflect only the statutory requirements explicitly applicable to charter schools in the Education Code.

The LCAP is intended to be a comprehensive planning tool. Accordingly, in developing goals, specific actions, and expenditures, LEAs should carefully consider how to reflect the services and related expenses for their basic instructional program in relationship to the state priorities. LEAs may reference and describe actions and expenditures in other plans and funded by a variety of other fund sources when detailing goals, actions, and expenditures related to the state and local priorities. LCAPs must be consistent with school plans submitted pursuant to Education Code section 64001. The information contained in the LCAP, or annual update, may be supplemented by information contained in other plans (including the LEA plan pursuant to Section 1112 of Subpart 1 of Part A of Title I of Public Law 107-110) that are incorporated or referenced as relevant in this document.

For each section of the template, LEAs shall comply with instructions and should use the guiding questions as prompts (but not limits) for completing the information as required by statute. Guiding questions do not require separate narrative responses. However, the narrative response and goals and actions should demonstrate each guiding question was considered during the development of the plan. Data referenced in the LCAP must be consistent with the school accountability report card where appropriate. LEAs may resize pages or attach additional pages as necessary to facilitate completion of the LCAP.

State Priorities

The state priorities listed in Education Code sections 52060 and 52066 can be categorized as specified below for planning purposes, however, school districts and county offices of education must address each of the state priorities in their LCAP. Charter schools must address the priorities in Education Code section 52060(d) that apply to the grade levels served, or the nature of the program operated, by the charter school.

A. Conditions of Learning:

Basic: degree to which teachers are appropriately assigned pursuant to Education Code section 44258.9, and fully credentialed in the subject areas and for the pupils they are teaching; pupils have access to standards-aligned instructional materials pursuant to Education Code section 60119; and school facilities are maintained in good repair pursuant to Education Code section 17002(d). (Priority 1)

Implementation of State Standards: implementation of academic content and performance standards and English language development standards adopted by the state board for all pupils, including English learners. (Priority 2)

Course access: pupil enrollment in a broad course of study that includes all of the subject areas described in Education Code section 51210 and subdivisions (a) to (i), inclusive, of Section 51220, as applicable. (Priority 7)

Expelled pupils (for county offices of education only): coordination of instruction of expelled pupils pursuant to Education Code section 48926. (Priority 9)

Foster youth (for county offices of education only): coordination of services, including working with the county child welfare agency to share information, responding to the needs of the juvenile court system, and ensuring transfer of health and education records. (Priority 10)

B. Pupil Outcomes:

Pupil achievement: performance on standardized tests, score on Academic Performance Index, share of pupils that are college and career ready, share of English learners that become English proficient, English learner reclassification rate, share of pupils that pass Advanced Placement exams with 3 or higher, share of pupils determined prepared for college by the Early Assessment Program. (Priority 4)

Other pupil outcomes: pupil outcomes in the subject areas described in Education Code section 51210 and subdivisions (a) to (i), inclusive, of Education Code section 51220, as applicable. (Priority 8)

C. Engagement:

Parental involvement: efforts to seek parent input in decision making at the district and each school site, promotion of parent participation in programs for unduplicated pupils and special need subgroups. (Priority 3)

Pupil engagement: school attendance rates, chronic absenteeism rates, middle school dropout rates, high school dropout rates, high school graduations rates. (Priority 5)

School climate: pupil suspension rates, pupil expulsion rates, other local measures including surveys of pupils, parents and teachers on the sense of safety and school connectedness. (Priority 6)

Section 1: Stakeholder Engagement

Meaningful engagement of parents, pupils, and other stakeholders, including those representing the subgroups identified in Education Code section 52052, is critical to the LCAP and budget process. Education Code sections 52060(g), 52062 and 52063 specify the minimum requirements for school districts; Education Code sections 52055(g), 52068 and 52069 specify the minimum requirements for county offices of education, and Education Code section 47606.5 specifies the minimum requirements for charter schools. In addition, Education Code section 48985 specifies the requirements for translation of documents.

Instructions: Describe the process used to consult with parents, pupils, school personnel, local bargaining units as applicable, and the community and how this consultation contributed to development of the LCAP or annual update. Note that the LEA's goals, actions, services and expenditures related to the state priority of parental involvement are to be described separately in Section 2. In the annual update boxes, describe the stakeholder involvement process for the review, and describe its impact on, the development of the annual update to LCAP goals, actions, services, and expenditures.

Guiding Questions:

- 1) How have applicable stakeholders (e.g., parents and pupils, including parents of unduplicated pupils and unduplicated pupils identified in Education Code section 42238.01, community members, local bargaining units, LEA personnel, county child welfare agencies, county office of education foster youth services programs, court-appointed special advocates, and other foster youth stakeholders, community organizations representing English learners, and others as appropriate) been engaged and involved in developing, reviewing, and supporting implementation of the LCAP?
- 2) How have stakeholders been included in the LEA's process in a timely manner to allow for engagement in the development of the LCAP?
- 3) What information (e.g., quantitative and qualitative data/metrics) was made available to stakeholders related to the state priorities and used by the LEA to inform the LCAP goal setting process? How was the information made available?
- 4) What changes, if any, were made in the LCAP prior to adoption as a result of written comments or other feedback received by the LEA through any of the LEA's engagement processes?
- 5) What specific actions were taken to meet statutory requirements for stakeholder engagement pursuant to Education Code sections 52062, 52068, and 47606.5, including engagement with representatives of parents and guardians of pupils identified in Education Code section 42238.01?
- 6) What specific actions were taken to consult with pupils to meet the requirements 5 CCR 15495(a)?
- 7) How has stakeholder involvement been continued and supported? How has the involvement of these stakeholders supported improved outcomes for pupils, including unduplicated pupils, related to the state priorities?

Involvement Process

Method Schools utilized data from an internal diagnostic assessment tool administered upon enrollment of each student to utilize as a starting point to chart academic growth in Language Arts and Math throughout the school year. The diagnostic assessment was administered quarterly along with benchmark assessments that were administered on an ongoing basis to indidividualize curriculum and instruction throughout the school year.

Method Schools' stakeholders all participated in the evaluative process of the entire educational program and provided input on proposed goals through analysis and discussion at meetings, responding to surveys and individual input delivered directly to all of Method staff.

Impact on LCAP

Through analysis and discussion, Method Schools stakeholders identified the following needs:

- 1) Further develop professional development plan for all staff to comply with proper assignemnts and enhance instructional program
- 2) Further develop a diagnostic and benchmark assessment schedule and system to improve persoaalized and data driven instruction for all students
- 3) Further recruit parents to participate in pilot programs and evaluative surveys of the school and elements of the educational program
- 4) Improve student achievement through enhancements of datadriven curriculum and instructional practices
- 5) Increase student engagement through further developed projects and delivery of studetn interest driven curriculum and supporting labs and workshops
- 6) Further ensure all students have access to broad and diverse curriculum, instructional practices, projects, and supporting labs and workhops
- 7) Further develop a strong culture that fosters a student focused and collaborative educational environment

Annual Update:

1. All Method students are provided an equitable floor of opportunity, and then customized solutions are built from there based on specific student needs. Basic opportunities granted to all students include: highly-qualified, certified teachers. Online and offline text that meet current state common core requirements. Safe, modern facilities that improve learning environments. 2. All students are provided curriculum aligned to Common Core State Standards. 3. Students are given broad learning materials and courseware choices that prepare them for 4-year college and university. 4. As written in the fourth outcome, Method student achievement as measured by performance on standardized tests, the Academic Performance Index, the proportion of students who are "college and career ready," the percentage of English learners who are reclassified as fluent in English, the share of high school students who pass Advanced Placement course

Annual Update:

In developing the LCAP, the Method Board of Directors conducted two board meetings to go over the LCAP and to develop a plan to involve the Method community. A committed community and parent involvement is an essential part of the Method model. Method can't be successful without this constant, meaningful interaction, and this was especially true in developing the LCAP. It's critical that the community-at-large have ample opportunities to provide feedback and guidance for the taxpayer-funded school. Method founders have spent the past year meeting with parents of potential Method students in an effort to build the optimal school, and we followed a similar – although condensed – process

exams with a score of at least a 3 out of 5, and other measures. 5. Method provides students with tutoring that can help them prepare effectively for college entrance exams. 6. Method actively tracks on-site and online attendance to guard against absenteeism. 7. Method parents participate in key decision-making areas, and have ample access to volunteer opportunities. Board meetings are regularly published well in advance to give all stakeholders an opportunity to attend. 8. Method makes efforts to adhere to local school district policies when it comes to attendance and other critical policies.

in disseminating information about the Method LCAP.

The following are key initiatives and projects that have been undertaken, or will be, that will help guide the planning, design, and implementation of the school. This same process has been – or will be – done in developing the LCAP. It will also be used to refine the Method LCAP in coming years.

- · Implementation Team (IT): Early on, Method's founders formed an Implementation Team (IT). This team, made up of school and business leaders, potential Method parents, and the founders, has reached out to parents, students, and community and school leaders in an effort to build a school that truly fills a need in Southern California. The team meets regularly, and will continue to do so, as the school launch date approaches. This team will be a regular part of the Method leadership plan after launch.
- · Research: Regular surveys for parents, student and the overall Method community are planned. These surveys will be designed to provide an outlet for direct feedback and also as a tool to optimize the school. Other research opportunities will be routinely sought out in an effort to improve school performance and service delivery.
- · Representative Governing Board: substantial efforts have been undertaken to ensure a robust and varied board has been selected that represents the communities Method serves. This Board, with is also part of the Implementation Team, is made up of highly-skilled and service-minded individuals who have future Method students' best interests in mind.
- · Website: www.methodschools.org includes regular LCAP updates. The website is posted with several social media platforms to broaden reach and interaction opportunities.

Ongoing parental involvement is a necessity for Method to grow

each year. We'll pattern LCAP refinements after this model of ongoing and intensive family and community involvement.

Families who have remarkable experiences will help spread the word. Regular and meaningful involvement is one way to make certain this happens. Parents are already helping form the foundation of Method, and in the future they'll be counted on to provide continual lift and inspiration. LCAP provides a formal document to build goals around.

Section 2: Goals, Actions, Expenditures, and Progress Indicators

Instructions:

All LEAs must complete the LCAP and Annual Update Template each year. The LCAP is a three-year plan for the upcoming school year and the two years that follow. In this way, the program and goals contained in the LCAP align with the term of a school district and county office of education budget and multiyear budget projections. The Annual Update section of the template reviews progress made for each stated goal in the school year that is coming to a close, assesses the effectiveness of actions and services provided, and describes the changes made in the LCAP for the next three years that are based on this review and assessment.

Charter schools may adjust the table below to align with the term of the charter school's budget that is submitted to the school's authorizer pursuant to Education Code section 47604.33.

For school districts, Education Code sections 52060 and 52061, for county offices of education, Education Code sections 52066 and 52067, and for charter schools, Education Code section 47606.5 require(s) the LCAP to include a description of the annual goals, for all pupils and each subgroup of pupils, to be achieved for each state priority as defined in 5 CCR 15495(i) and any local priorities; a description of the specific actions an LEA will take to meet the identified goals; a description of the expenditures required to implement the specific actions; and an annual update to include a review of progress towards the goals and describe any changes to the goals.

To facilitate alignment between the LCAP and school plans, the LCAP shall identify and incorporate school-specific goals related to the state and local priorities from the school plans submitted pursuant to Education Code section 64001. Furthermore, the LCAP should be shared with, and input requested from, school site-level advisory groups, as applicable (e.g., school site councils, English Learner Advisory Councils, pupil advisory groups, etc.) to facilitate alignment between school-site and district-level goals and actions. An LEA may incorporate or reference actions described in other plans that are being undertaken to meet the goal.

Using the following instructions and guiding questions, complete a goal table (see below) for each of the LEA's goals. Duplicate and expand the fields as necessary.

Goal: Describe the goal.

When completing the goal tables, include goals for all pupils and specific goals for school sites and specific subgroups, including pupils with disabilities, both at the LEA level and, where applicable, at the school site level. The LEA may identify which school sites and subgroups have the same goals, and group and describe those goals together. The LEA may also indicate those goals that are not applicable to a specific subgroup or school site.

Related State and/or Local Priorities: Identify the state and /or local priorities addressed by the goal by placing a check mark next to the applicable priority or priorities. The LCAP must include goals that address each of the state priorities, as defined in 5 CCR 15495(i), and any additional local priorities; however, one goal may address multiple priorities.

Identified Need: Describe the need(s) identified by the LEA that this goal addresses, including a description of the supporting data, used to identify the need(s).

Schools: Identify the schools sites to which the goal applies. LEAs may indicate "all" for all schools, specify an individual school or a subset of schools, or specify grade spans (e.g., all high schools or grades K-5).

Applicable Pupil Subgroups: Identify the pupil subgroups as defined in Education Code section 52052 to which the goal applies, or indicate "all" for all pupils.

Expected Annual Measurable Outcomes: For each LCAP year, identify and describe specific expected measurable outcomes for all pupils using, at minimum, the applicable required metrics for the related state priorities. Where applicable, include descriptions of specific expected measurable outcomes for school sites and specific subgroups, including pupils with disabilities, both at the LEA level and at the school site level.

The metrics used to describe the expected measurable outcomes may be quantitative or qualitative, although the goal tables must address all required metrics for every state priority in each LCAP year. The required metrics are the specified measures and objectives for each state priority as set forth in Education Code sections 52060(d) and 52066(d). For the pupil engagement priority metrics, LEAs must calculate the rates specified in Education Code sections 52060(d)(5)(B), (C), (D) and (E) as described in the Local Control Accountability Plan and Annual Update Template Appendix, sections (a) through (d).

Action/Services: For each LCAP year, identify all annual actions to be performed and services provided to meet the described goal. Actions may describe a group of services that are implemented to achieve the identified goal.

Scope of Service: Describe the scope of each action/service by identifying the school sites covered. LEAs may indicate "all" for all schools, specify an individual school or a subset of schools, or specify grade spans (e.g., all high schools or grades K-5). If supplemental and concentration funds are used to support the action/service, the LEA must identify if the scope of service is districtwide, schoolwide, countywide, or charterwide.

Pupils to be served within identified scope of service: For each action/service, identify the pupils to be served within the identified scope of service. If the action to be performed or the service to be provided is for all pupils, place a check mark next to "ALL."

For each action and/or service to be provided above what is being provided for all pupils, place a check mark next to the applicable unduplicated pupil subgroup(s) and/or other pupil subgroup(s) that will benefit from the additional action, and/or will receive the additional service. Identify, as applicable, additional actions and services for unduplicated pupil subgroup(s) as defined in Education Code section 42238.01, pupils redesignated fluent English proficient, and/or pupils subgroup(s) as defined in Education Code section 52052.

Budgeted Expenditures: For each action/service, list and describe budgeted expenditures for each school year to implement these actions, including where those expenditures can be found in the LEA's budget. The LEA must reference all fund sources for each proposed expenditure. Expenditures must be classified using the California School Accounting Manual as required by Education Code sections 52061, 52067, and 47606.5.

Guiding Questions:

- 1) What are the LEA's goal(s) to address state priorities related to "Conditions of Learning"?
- 2) What are the LEA's goal(s) to address state priorities related to "Pupil Outcomes"?
- 3) What are the LEA's goal(s) to address state priorities related to parent and pupil "Engagement" (e.g., parent involvement, pupil engagement, and school climate)?
- 4) What are the LEA's goal(s) to address any locally-identified priorities?
- 5) How have the unique needs of individual school sites been evaluated to inform the development of meaningful district and/or individual school site goals (e.g., input from site level advisory groups, staff, parents, community, pupils; review of school level plans; in-depth school level data analysis, etc.)?
- 6) What are the unique goals for unduplicated pupils as defined in Education Code sections 42238.01 and subgroups as defined in section 52052 that are different from the LEA's goals for all pupils?
- 7) What are the specific expected measurable outcomes associated with each of the goals annually and over the term of the LCAP?
- 8) What information (e.g., quantitative and qualitative data/metrics) was considered/reviewed to develop goals to address each state or local priority?
- 9) What information was considered/reviewed for individual school sites?
- 10) What information was considered/reviewed for subgroups identified in Education Code section 52052?
- 11) What actions/services will be provided to all pupils, to subgroups of pupils identified pursuant to Education Code section 52052, to specific school sites, to English learners, to low-income pupils, and/or to foster youth to achieve goals identified in the LCAP?
- 12) How do these actions/services link to identified goals and expected measurable outcomes?
- 13) What expenditures support changes to actions/services as a result of the goal identified? Where can these expenditures be found in the LEA's budget?

GOAL:	Goals				Related State and/or 1 x 2 x 3 x 4 x 5 x COE only: 9 Local: Specify	<u>x</u> 6 <u>x</u> 7 <u>x</u> 8 <u>x</u> _ 10
Identified	Need:	Need				
Goal App	lies to:	Schools:				
		Applicable Pupil Subgroups: All				
			LCAP Yea	ır 1: 2016-17		
Expected Measu Outco	ırable	Change				
	A	Actions/Services	Scope of Service	Pupils to be served within ide service	entified scope of	Budgeted Expenditures
				ALL OR:Low Income pupilsEnglish LearnFoster YouthRedesignated fluerOther Subgroups:(Specify)	nt English proficient	

GOAL:	•	2017, 80% of Method Schools students wed/identified common formative assessm			Related State and/or L 1 x 2 x 3 x 4 x 5 x COE only: 9 Local: Specify	<u>x</u> 6 <u>x</u> 7 <u>x</u> 8 <u>x</u>	
Identified Goal Appl		level on site-developed/identified com assessment upon enrollment to acquire end of the school year to chart growth i independent of the Smarter Balanced a	nmon formative e initial data and in specific areas. assessment data rter Balanced ass	sessment and that data will be analyzed	n. Every student will take a dents will take the assessn e growth in student perform	diagnostic nent again at the mance	
			w Income, Engli	ish Learner (EL), Hispanic or Latino			
				ear 1: 2016-17			
Measu	Every student is expected to demonstrate 1-1.5 years of annual growth in Common Core standards in Math and English Language Arts per an internal assessment tool and annual state testing. The expected measurable outcome for this school year is to show 1-1.5 years of academic growth per student in both Language Arts and Math per the School's internally used diagnostic assessment tool.						
	Į.	Actions/Services	Scope of Service	Pupils to be served within id service	lentified scope of	Budgeted Expenditures	
The expected action in relation to this goal for Year 1 is to further analyze the assessment data available for students who began with Method Schools at the beginning of the school year and stayed enrolled throughout the school year. Additionally, all interventions applied will be reviewed and analyzed for effectiveness. Those interventions that were effective will continue to be used for the following school year and new interventions will be developed to further address student's needs.			x_ALL OR:Low Income pupils English LearFoster Youth Redesignated flueOther Subgroups:(Specify)	ent English proficient	Experiuitures		
Evnostos	l Annual			ear 2: 2017-18	1/11		
Expected	Annuai	By 06/01/2017, 80% of Method School	s students will ۾،	perform at grade level on site-developed	d/identified common form	ative assessments	

				ı
Measurable Outcomes:	in Language Arts and Math.			
Ac	tions/Services	Scope of Service	Pupils to be served within identified scope of service	Budgeted Expenditures
			x_ALL OR:Low Income pupilsEnglish LearnersFoster YouthRedesignated fluent English proficientOther Subgroups:(Specify) ar 3: 2018-19 erform at grade level on site-developed/identified common form	
Measurable Outcomes:	in Language Arts and Math.			
Ac	tions/Services	Scope of Service	Pupils to be served within identified scope of service	Budgeted Expenditures
The expected action in relation to this goal for Year 1 is to further analyze the assessment data available for students who began with Method Schools at the beginning of the school year and stayed enrolled throughout the school year. Additionally, all interventions applied will be reviewed and analyzed for effectiveness. Those interventions that were effective will continue to be used for the following school year and new interventions will be developed to further address student's needs.			x_ALL OR:Low Income pupils English LearnersFoster Youth Redesignated fluent English proficientOther Subgroups:(Specify)	

GOAL: By 06/01,	/2017, Method schools' will develop a cor	Related State and/or I 1 x 2 x 3 x 4 x 5 x COE only: 9 Local: Specify	<u>x</u> 6 <u>x</u> 7 <u>x</u> 8 <u>x</u>					
Identified Need:	This goal addresses the need of a further developed professional development for staff identified by the stakeholders that includes diversifying credentials held by each teacher, best practices in blended and project based learning, and data-driven instructional practices.							
Goal Applies to:	Schools: Applicable Pupil Subgroups: All							
	The product of the subgroups.		ar 1: 2016-17					
Expected Annual Measurable Outcomes:	surable							
	Actions/Services	Scope of Service	Pupils to be served within id service	entified scope of	Budgeted Expenditures			
Expected actions for Year 1 include researching and retrieving feedback from stakeholders in focus areas for further professional development.			X ALL OR: Low Income pupils English Learners Foster Youth Redesignated fluent English proficient Other Subgroups:(Specify)					
		LCAP Yea	ar 2: 2017-18					
Expected Annual Measurable Outcomes:			redentials held by each teacher through nich will intern impact student outcome	-	ment			
	Actions/Services	Scope of Service	Pupils to be served within id service	entified scope of	Budgeted Expenditures			
Expected actions for Year 2 include the implementation of the professional development plan, including secondary credential courses, enhanced data-driven instructional practices, and best practices in blended and project based learning.			x_ALL OR:Low Income pupilsEnglish LearFoster YouthRedesignated flueOther Subgroups:(Specify)	ners ent English proficient				
LCAP Year 3: 2018-19								

Expected Annual Measurable Outcomes:	The expected outcomes for Year 3 will be additional credentials held by each teacher through the professional development opportunities and enhanced instructional practices which will intern impact student outcomes.							
Actions/Services		Scope of Service	Pupils to be served within identified scope of service	Budgeted Expenditures				
professional developme credential courses, enh	ar 3 include the implementation of the ent plan, including secondary anced data-driven instructional tices in blended and project based		X_ALL OR:Low Income pupilsEnglish LearnersFoster YouthRedesignated fluent English proficientOther Subgroups:(Specify)					

GOAL:		/2017, 100% of Method Schools' Parents/ tudent grades, and opportunities to pro	Local Priorities: _6 <u>x</u> 7 <u>8</u> _ 10 <u> </u>					
Identified	Identified Need: The need for enhanced parental and community involvement was identified in order to build a strong school climate and cultu							
Goal App	lies to:	Schools:						
		Applicable Pupil Subgroups: A	All					
			LCAP Ye	ar 1: 2016-17				
Meası	Expected Annual Measurable Outcomes: By June of 2017, 100% of Method Schools' families will have received a series of messages through outreach regarding events, student grades, and opportunities to provide program feedback. State Metrics: Promotion of parental participation							
		A ation of Complete	Scope of	Pupils to be served within id	lentified scope of	Budgeted		
	•	Actions/Services	Service	service		Expenditures		
				OR: Low Income pupils English Lear Foster Youth Redesignated flue Other Subgroups:(Specify)	ners ent English proficient			
			LCAP Ye	ar 2: 2017-18				
Expected Measu Outco		By June of 2018, 100% of Method Sch grades, and opportunities to provide State Metrics: Promotion of parental	e program feedbad	have received a series of messages thr	ough outreach regarding e	vents, student		
		Actions/Services	Scope of Service	Pupils to be served within id service	lentified scope of	Budgeted Expenditures		
				ALL OR:Low Income pupilsEnglish LearFoster YouthRedesignated flueOther Subgroups:(Specify)	ners ent English proficient			

LCAP Year 3: 2018-19										
Expected Annual Measurable Outcomes:	By June of 2019, 100% of Method Schools' families will have received a series of messages through outreach regarding events, student grades, and opportunities to provide program feedback. State Metrics: Promotion of parental participation									
Actions/Services		Scope of Pupils to be served within identified scope of Budget								
•	ctions, services	Service	service	Expenditures						
			ALL OR:Low Income pupils English Learners Foster Youth Redesignated fluent English proficient Other Subgroups:(Specify)							

Annual Update

Annual Update Instructions: For each goal in the prior year LCAP, review the progress toward the expected annual outcome(s) based on, at a minimum, the required metrics pursuant to Education Code sections 52060 and 52066. The review must include an assessment of the effectiveness of the specific actions. Describe any changes to the actions or goals the LEA will take as a result of the review and assessment. In addition, review the applicability of each goal in the LCAP.

Guiding Questions:

- 1) How have the actions/services addressed the needs of all pupils and did the provisions of those services result in the desired outcomes?
- 2) How have the actions/services addressed the needs of all subgroups of pupils identified pursuant to Education Code section 52052, including, but not limited to, English learners, low-income pupils, and foster youth; and did the provision of those actions/services result in the desired outcomes?
- 3) How have the actions/services addressed the identified needs and goals of specific school sites and were these actions/services effective in achieving the desired outcomes?
- 4) What information (e.g., quantitative and qualitative data/metrics) was examined to review progress toward goals in the annual update?
- 5) What progress has been achieved toward the goal and expected measurable outcome(s)? How effective were the actions and services in making progress toward the goal? What changes to goals, actions, services, and expenditures are being made in the LCAP as a result of the review of progress and assessment of the effectiveness of the actions and services?
- 6) What differences are there between budgeted expenditures and estimated actual annual expenditures? What were the reasons for any differences?

Original Goals					Related State and/o	
GOAL						
from prior					COE only: 9	_ 10
year					Local: Specify	
LCAP:					· · · —	
Goal Applies to:	Schools:					
	Applicable Pupil Subgroups: A	<u> </u>				
Expected Cha	ange		Actual Annual			
Annual			Measurable			
Measurable			Outcomes:			
Outcomes:						
		LCAP YEA	AR: 2015-16			
	Planned Actions/Services			Actual Ac	tions/Services	
		Budgeted				Estimated Actual
		Expenditures				Annual
		•				Expenditures
N/A		N/A - \$0.00				
,		Funding Sources:				
		LCFF Base (0000) -				
		\$1.00				
Scope of	All for this Goal		Scope of			
service:			service:			
x ALL			ALL			
OR:			OR:			
	English Loarnors			ls English Loarn	norc.	
Low Income pupils			tow income pupi	lsEnglish Learr	1612	
Foster Youth Redesignated fluent English proficient					nt English proficient	
Other Subgroups:(Specify)			Other Subgroups	:(Specify)		
What changes in						
and expenditures						
result of reviewing						
and/or chang	es to goals?					

GOAL com from prior year LCAP:	GOAL from prior year LCAP: Goal Applies to: Schools: Common formative assessments in Language Arts and Math. 1 x 2 x 3 x 4 x 5 x 6 x 7 x 8 x COE only: 9 10							
Expected Annual Measurable Outcomes: Applicable Pupil Subgroups: Lo Every student is expected to demonstrate annual growth in Common Core standard English Language Arts per an internal association.		e 1-1.5 years of Is in Math and essment tool and	Actual Annual Measurable Outcomes:	or Eutino				
		LCAP YEA	AR: 2015-16					
	Planned Actions/Services			Actual Ac	tions/Services			
		Budgeted Expenditures				Estimated Actual Annual Expenditures		
1-1.5 Years of annual growth in Common Core State Standards in Math and ELA Addressing the needs of every student is provided though the following practices: - Common Core aligned curriculum - Comprehensive Response to Intervention (RTI) program for students of all abilities - Student Personalized Plans to ensure monitored progress through academic program - Regularly scheduled meetings with parents for consistent communication and partnership in ensuring student success Major expenditures associated with this goal: • Adaptive online content from K12, Edgenuity, Aventa, Fuel Ed • College & Career Readiness platform from Naviance • Brainhoney dashboard • Technology equipment from Apple and Dell		Major expenditures associated with this goal: • Adaptive online content from K12, Edgenuity, Aventa, Fuel Ed • College & Career Readiness platform from Naviance • Brainhoney dashboard • Technology equipment from Apple and Dell - \$0.00 Funding Sources:						

			LCFF Base (0000) - \$1.00, LCFF Supplemental (0000) - \$1.00, LCFF Concentration (0000) - \$1.00			
Scope of	All for this Goal			Scope of		
service:				service:		
ALL				ALL		
OR:				OR:		
x Low Income pupils	x English Learners			Low Income pupils	English Learners	
	 designated fluent Engli	sh proficient			designated fluent English proficient	
x Other Subgroups:(S				-	pecify)	
<u></u> 8	<u></u>	<u> </u>				
What changes in actions, services,		· ·	•	impact the goal of growth in Math and	ELA standards:	
result of reviewir			-		ssments throughout the school year	
and/or chang				to align to assessment d		
aa, c. c.iang	33.5.	- Provide inte	rvention curriculum for every student that aligns to assessment deficiencies			

Section 3: Use of Supplemental and Concentration Grant funds and Proportionality

A. In the box below, identify the amount of funds in the LCAP year calculated on the basis of the number and concentration of low income, foster youth, and English learner pupils as determined pursuant to 5 CCR 15496(a)(5).

Describe how the LEA is expending these funds in the LCAP year. Include a description of, and justification for, the use of any funds in a districtwide, schoolwide, countywide, or charterwide manner as specified in 5 CCR 15496.

For school districts with below 55 percent of enrollment of unduplicated pupils in the district or below 40 percent of enrollment of unduplicated pupils at a school site in the LCAP year, when using supplemental and concentration funds in a districtwide or schoolwide manner, the school district must additionally describe how the services provided are the most effective use of funds to meet the district's goals for unduplicated pupils in the state and any local priority areas. (See 5 CCR 15496(b) for guidance.)

Total amount of Supplemental and Concentration grant funds calculated:	\$91,663.00

Additional tutoring and support: 40000 Enhanced curriculum and assessments: 9500

Instructional support for small group instruction: 42163

All curriculum and assessment tools are used across all of Method Schools and provide common core aligned content to all students along with the a diagnostic and benchmark assessment tool that allows for a data driven program that provides personalized interventions for each student. Additionally, teachers are utilized to delver focused direct instruction to refine the data driven educational program.

B. In the box below, identify the percentage by which services for unduplicated pupils must be increased or improved as compared to the services provided to all pupils in the LCAP year as calculated pursuant to 5 CCR 15496(a).

Consistent with the requirements of 5 CCR 15496, demonstrate how the services provided in the LCAP year for low income pupils, foster youth, and English learners provide for increased or improved services for these pupils in proportion to the increase in funding provided for such pupils in that year as calculated pursuant to 5 CCR 15496(a)(7). An LEA shall describe how the proportionality percentage is met using a quantitative and/or qualitative description of the increased and/or improved services for unduplicated pupils as compared to the services provided to all pupils.

%			

NOTE: Authority cited: Sections 42238.07 and 52064, Education Code. Reference: Sections 2574, 2575, 42238.01, 42238.02, 42238.03, 42238.07, 47605.5, 47606.5, 48926, 52052, 52060-52077, and 64001, Education Code; 20 U.S.C. Section 6312.

LOCAL CONTROL AND ACCOUNTABILITY PLAN AND ANNUAL UPDATE APPENDIX

For the purposes of completing the LCAP in reference to the state priorities under Education Code sections 52060 and 52066, the following shall apply:

- (a) "Chronic absenteeism rate" shall be calculated as follows:
 - (1) The number of pupils with a primary, secondary, or short-term enrollment during the academic year (July 1 June 30) who are chronically absent where "chronic absentee" means a pupil who is absent 10 percent or more of the schooldays in the school year when the total number of days a pupil is absent is divided by the total number of days the pupil is enrolled and school was actually taught in the regular day schools of the district, exclusive of Saturdays and Sundays.
 - (2) The unduplicated count of pupils with a primary, secondary, or short-term enrollment during the academic year (July 1 June 30).
 - (3) Divide (1) by (2).
- (b) "Middle School dropout rate" shall be calculated as set forth in California Code of Regulations, title 5, section 1039.1.
- (c) "High school dropout rate" shall be calculated as follows:
 - (1) The number of cohort members who dropout by the end of year 4 in the cohort where "cohort" is defined as the number of first-time grade 9 pupils in year 1 (starting cohort) plus pupils who transfer in, minus pupils who transfer out, emigrate, or die during school years 1, 2, 3, and 4.
 - (2) The total number of cohort members.
 - (3) Divide (1) by (2).
- (d) "High school graduation rate" shall be calculated as follows:
 - (1) The number of cohort members who earned a regular high school diploma [or earned an adult education high school diploma or passed the California High School Proficiency Exam] by the end of year 4 in the cohort where "cohort" is defined as the number of first-time grade 9 pupils in year 1 (starting cohort) plus pupils who transfer in, minus pupils who transfer out, emigrate, or die during school years 1, 2, 3, and 4.
 - (2) The total number of cohort members.
 - (3) Divide (1) by (2).

- (e) "Suspension rate" shall be calculated as follows:
 - (1) The unduplicated count of pupils involved in one or more incidents for which the pupil was suspended during the academic year (July 1 June 30).
 - (2) The unduplicated count of pupils with a primary, secondary, or short-term enrollment during the academic year (July 1 June 30).
 - (3) Divide (1) by (2).
- (f) "Expulsion rate" shall be calculated as follows:
 - (1) The unduplicated count of pupils involved in one or more incidents for which the pupil was expelled during the academic year (July 1 June 30).
 - (2) The unduplicated count of pupils with a primary, secondary, or short-term enrollment during the academic year (July 1 June 30).
 - (3) Divide (1) by (2).

8-22-14 [California Department of Education]