LCAP Year	2017–18	2018–19	2019–20

Local Control Accountability Plan and Annual Update (LCAP) Template

Addendum: General instructions & regulatory requirements.

Appendix A: Priorities 5 and 6 Rate Calculations

Appendix B: Guiding Questions: Use as prompts (not limits)

LCFF Evaluation Rubrics: Essential data to support completion of this LCAP. Please analyze the LEA's full data set; specific links to the rubrics are also provided within the template.

LEA Name	Method Schools		
Contact Name and Title	Jessica Spallino	Email and Phone	Jessica@methodschools.org (760) – 244-0758

2017-20 Plan Summary

THE STORY

Briefly describe the students and community and how the LEA serves them.

Method Schools is a charter school in Southern California chartered through Dehesa Elementary School District in San Diego County. Established in 2014, Method is a non-classroom based program that serves students through a highly differentiated instructional program. Method students participate in a rich, independent study project based experiential learning environment. Method Schools is an independent study program that offers a blended environment that combines online curriculum with on-site and virtual teacher instruction.

The overall model contains the following components:

- Students complete some of their coursework at home with the guidance of their learning coach and Method Schools CA highly-qualified, credentialed teacher.
- Students can create their own schedules, but need to log in to their accounts every day unless it is a school holiday. They work approximately four to six hours a day on their coursework.
- Students and their Learning Coach are required to meet with their Method Schools teachers every week virtually or on site to turn in hard copy and project based coursework, take any required assessments on site, etc.
- Students have access to their Method Schools teacher through email or phone and can arrange for onsite instructional support in a one-on-one format or in an instructional aide computer lab. [SEP]
- Students may also come to the site for a teacher led scheduled workshop, project work, or intervention. [5]

This program provides personal attention to each student along with the freedom to follow individual interests. Teachers continuously evaluate student progress, inserting support and

interventions where necessary. One way of customizing the Method student experience is through differentiated instructional delivery. Instructional support may be in the following formats:

- Live, virtual meeting via web conference tool with shared whiteboard and internet [SEP]
- On-site instructional support in one on one or group tutoring settings
- Supplemental and enrichment courses in key subject areas, such as technology and step entrepreneurship

LCAP HIGHLIGHTS

Identify and briefly summarize the key features of this year's LCAP.

Method's 2017-18 thru 2019-20 LCAP was developed as a direct result of the input and comments received from the student, parent and staff engagement. As outlined above, through the targeted surveys and individualized focus group, we were able to gain an enhanced understanding of our stakeholder's priorities. Beginning with our engagement in developing the 2017-18 LCAP (done in FY 2016-17) there has been consistent information flow between the school and stakeholder groups.

Stakeholder participation throughout the entire LCAP process allowed for greater understanding and alignment of student, staff and parent needs. By designing our surveys to include a small set of duplicate questions for each targeted group, we were able to see common themes and LCAP focus areas emerge in collected data.

These include common focus areas include increased parent communication, development of additional enrichment opportunities for students, increased emphasis on student citizenship and personal (behavioral) development, extracurricular activity developing including STEM, Career & Technical Skills and visual and performing arts, and increased parent communication.

Staff data included the need for additional support in the implementation of CCCSS, Science, use of current technology tools, and technology integration. In addition, priorities were also developed based upon the common themes listed above along with new data from the district wide benchmark assessments showing a lack of student proficiency in CCCSS across the grade levels, current district goals and the state priority areas.

REVIEW OF PERFORMANCE

Based on a review of performance on the state indicators and local performance indicators included in the LCFF Evaluation Rubrics, progress toward LCAP goals, local self-assessment tools, stakeholder input, or other information, what progress is the LEA most proud of and how does the LEA plan to maintain or build upon that success? This may include identifying any specific examples of how past increases or improvements in services for low-income students, English learners, and foster youth have led to improved performance for these students.

GREATEST PROGRESS

We feel our greatest progress made during the 2018-19 academic year was the continued development of Method's own Learning Management System (/ Student Information System (LMS/SIS) and own curriculum. Both of these items were designed for and made specifically for Method Schools and will directly enhance our teachers' effectiveness and students' ability to learn. Both the curriculum and LMS were designed specifically to align with how Method administers student assessments and gauges student performance.

Across all student groups Method declined 8.3 below Level 3 in ELA Comprehension, however, our Socioeconomically Disadvantaged students increased by 21.2 points. In Math comprehension Method declined 6.1 points, however, our Socioeconomically Disadvantaged students increased by 28.6 points.

Referring to the LCFF Evaluation Rubrics, identify any state indicator or local performance indicator for which overall performance was in the "Red" or "Orange" performance category or where the LEA received a "Not Met" or "Not Met for Two or More Years" rating. Additionally, identify any areas that the LEA has determined need significant improvement based on review of local performance indicators or other local indicators. What steps is the LEA planning to take to address these areas with the greatest need for improvement?

GREATEST NEEDS

In reviewing the CA Dashboard for Fall 2018 – which is based off of Method's 2017-18 Spring testing, our greatest need comes improving our student scores in both ELA and Mathematics. For this dashboard release, our students scored in the "Orange" percentile - 39.8 points below Level 3 in ELA and 93.1 points below Level 3 in Mathematics. This was a decline of 13.8 points and 15.2 points in ELA and Mathematics respectively. For 2019-20 Method's primary focus will be bridging the achievement gap and improve student scores across the board on Smarter Balance Assessments and CAASPP Assessments in both ELA and Math.

To help streamline and accelerate this academic improvement, Method has added the following positions: Curriculum Director and will be implementing the following positions: Academic Improvement Manager and Data Analyst. The goal of each of these positions is to provide increased, multi-tiered academic support for all of our students and bridge the existing achievement gaps in ELA and Math.

Referring to the LCFF Evaluation Rubrics, identify any state indicator for which performance for any student group was two or more performance levels below the "all student" performance. What steps is the LEA planning to take to address these performance gaps?

PERFORMANCE GAPS

In reviewing the CA Dashboard for Fall 2018 – which is based off Method's 2017-18 Spring testing, we experienced a significant performance gap between our Hispanic students in both ELA at 79.8 below standard (versus 29.6 below standard for White students) and Math comprehension, at 111.5 points below standard (versus 82.5 points below for White students) .

To help streamline and accelerate this academic improvement, Method will add the following positions: Academic Improvement Manager and Data Analyst. The goal of each of these positions is to provide increased, multi-tiered academic support for all our students and continue to bridge the existing achievement gaps in ELA and Math.

INCREASED OR IMPROVED SERVICES

If not previously addressed, identify the two to three most significant ways that the LEA will increase or improve services for low-income students, English learners, and foster youth.

Although not a significant percentage of our current student population, Method Schools is committed to the vision and mission it has set for all students and recognize the (additional) support our EL, Low-Income and Foster Youth students may need. This LCAP provides a program for all students, design such that every student becomes college and career ready by the time they exit Method. In order to ensure that our EL, Low-Income and Foster Youth students are provided with opportunities achieve at high levels, school supports have been incorporated throughout the LCAP. As a result, funds have been specifically allocated for personnel, instructional materials, technological equipment and other supplemental resources.

These supports include (specifically designated) certificated staff to support targeted instruction, opportunities for full parent involvement, and access to technological resources both at home and at school. For 2017-18 thru 2019-20, Method is planning to develop an Academic Improvement Manager position to provide further targeted instruction. The goal of this position is designed to provide additional support for our EL, Low-Income and Foster Youth students in an effort to help bridge the achievement gap that these students may face. This Academic Improvement Manager position will work in a pull out and push in capacity, utilizing online classroom resources as well as supplemental resources to provide support for these students. This intervention strategy, called Targeted Direct Instruction (T.D.I.), is already a key component of Method's instructional model. For 2017-18 thru 2019-20 we will be enhancing and tailoring this component specifically for our EL, Low-Income and Foster Youth students.

Moreover, virtual summer school courses have been developed and will be offered for our EL, Low-Income and Foster Youth students that are behind in core subject comprehension. These additional courses are designed to provide supplemental instructional time for our targeted students outside of the traditional school year as we strive to bring all students to grade level comprehension. Furthermore, a variety of interactive digital resources will continue to be provided to support the individual needs of the EL, Low-Income and Foster Youth students in achieving the Common Core and 21st Century Skills requirements.

Method Schools will continue to analyze and adjust the supplemental offerings provided to our EL, Low-Income and Foster Youth based on assessments and increases in student achievement in upcoming LCAP years.

BUDGET SUMMARY

Complete the table below. LEAs may include additional information or more detail, including graphics.

DESCRIPTION

AMOUNT

Total General Fund Budget Expenditures for LCAP Year \$4,156,487

Total Funds Budgeted for Planned Actions/Services to Meet the Goals in the LCAP for LCAP Year

\$2,350,000.00

The LCAP is intended to be a comprehensive planning tool but may not describe all General Fund Budget Expenditures. Briefly describe any of the General Fund Budget Expenditures specified above for the LCAP year not included in the LCAP.

During the 2018-19 fiscal year, we were able to strategically allocate all of our funds outlined in the LCAP for Planned Actions / Services as well as allocate General Fund Expenditures on projects that will help Method School years into the future. During 2018-19, Method was able to use LCFF Revenues to continue to fund the develop of internal LMS and SIS systems. Additionally, Method was able to allocate significant general fund revenues towards development of internal curriculum content for grades K-12. The development of these two internal projects for Method will allow the school operate autonomously from curriculum and SIS/LMS providers allowing the school both financial and operational flexibility.

\$3,853,159

Total Projected LCFF Revenues for LCAP Year

Annual Update

LCAP Year Reviewed: 2018-19

Complete a copy of the following table for each of the LEA's goals from the prior year LCAP. Duplicate the table as needed.

Goal 1

By 6/01/2019, 80% of Method Schools students will perform at grade level on site-developed / identified common formative assessments in Language Arts and Math.

State and/or Local Priorities Addressed by this goal:

STATE $\boxtimes 1 \boxtimes 2 \boxtimes 3 \boxtimes 4 \boxtimes 5 \boxtimes 6 \boxtimes 7 \boxtimes 8$

COE □ 9 □ 10

LOCAL _____

ANNUAL MEASURABLE OUTCOMES

EXPECTED ACTUAL

CAASP & Local Assessments - Close Level 3 gap by 10 points in ELA and 30 points in Math.

Based on the Fall 2018 Dashboard, Method students fell by 8.3 points and 6.1 points in ELA and Mathematics respectively.

Adopted Teacher Evaluations System - 85% of Teachers will not have "Needs Improvement" on Teacher Evaluation regarding CA Standards.

Method implemented enhanced teacher and administrator evaluation system and carried out evaluations in a timely and effective manner.

CA State Highly Qualified Rating Sheet - 100% of Teachers classified as highly qualified.

In 2018-19, 83% of teachers at Method Schools met the qualifications of "highly qualified" under the ESSA requirements and guidelines.

Duplicate the Actions/Services from the prior year LCAP and complete a copy of the following table for each. Duplicate the table as needed.

Action	

	PLANNED	ACTUAL		
	Student assessments conducted through RenStar assessment program a total of nine (9) assessments during the year.	During the 2018-19 school year Method carried out targeted student assessments (6) times during the academic year		
Actions/Services	Targeted Direct Instruction (T.D.I.) will be continued as key instruction component.	Method continued to further improve upon and conduct our Targeted Direct Instruction (T.D.I.) for student interventions. T.D.I. at Method consists of pulling out students for direct instruction in target areas in either small groups or one-on-one instruction.		
	Professional development for teachers and refinement of Teacher Evaluations for alignment and accountability to CA Standards.	Method teachers attended a wide variety of Professional Development seminars and conferences focused on IS Learning, Integrating Technology, PBIS, & Digital Literacy.		
Expenditures	\$222,750 – Per Submitted LCAP.	\$250,000.00		

<u>ANALYSIS</u>

Complete a copy of the following table for each of the LEA's goals from the prior year LCAP. Duplicate the table as needed.

Use actual annual measurable outcome data, including performance data from the LCFF Evaluation Rubrics, as applicable.

Describe the overall implementation of the actions/services to achieve the articulated goal.

During the 2018-19 school year Method carried out this goal, to an even greater extent than as it was outlined in our LCAP. Method carried out targeted student assessments frequently during the academic year for a total of (6) times during the academic year. For 2019-20 Method will continue to analyze our internal assessments and align these assessments to preparing our students for the Smarter Balance and CAASSP assessments. Method continued to further improve upon and conduct our Targeted Direct Instruction (T.D.I.) for student interventions.

T.D.I. at Method consists of pulling out students for direct instruction in target areas in either small groups or one-on-one instruction.

Method teachers attended a wide variety of Professional Development seminars and conferences focused on IS Learning, Integrating Technology, PBIS, & Digital Literacy.

We feel both the RenStar program (and subsequent assessment schedule) and T.D.I

intervention model are very effective in each of their designed scopes. By testing students for comprehension at the very start of the year, we are able to accurately gauge and measure progress during the year because we chart precisely where the students started. Moreover, by conducting assessments each month we are able to monitor continual progress vs. expected progress; which then guides our T.D.I. interventions. For 2019-20 Method will continue to analyze our internal assessments and aligned these assessments to preparing our students for the Smarter Balance and CAASSP assessments.

Describe the overall effectiveness of the actions/services to achieve the articulated goal as measured by the LEA.

Furthermore, we feel our T.D.I. intervention model is quite effective because it is correlated to our RenStar assessments. Through the data our staff receives from RenStar we are able to accurately identify student comprehensive levels, which allows us to delivery effective, accurately directed T.D.I. for both our higher and lower achieving students.

Explain material differences between Budgeted Expenditures and Estimated Actual Expenditures.

We did not have a material difference between Budgeted Expenditures and Actual Expenditures. During the 2018-19 year, we were able to successfully carry out, from an expenditure standpoint, the actions and services we intended to. Careful planning and strategic timing allowed Method to implement programs, carry out assessments, and attending trainings and developments as scheduled.

Describe any changes made to this goal, expected outcomes, metrics, or actions and services to achieve this goal as a result of this analysis and analysis of the LCFF Evaluation Rubrics, as applicable. Identify where those changes can be found in the LCAP.

There were no material changes made to this goal as it was originally outlined in our 2018-19 LCAP. As we continued to gain alignment and experience with our RenStar assessment program, we gained better understanding of how to better carry out our T.D.I and better prepare out students for Smarter Balance and CASSP Assessments in English Language Arts and Math.

Goal 2

Enhance and improve student engagement, attendance, and achievement. Increase school connectivity, and overall physical/emotional wellness and resiliency for students. Increased support for a socially and emotionally safe and secure learning environment, free emotional and digital harassment.

State and/or Local Priorities Addressed by this goal:

STATE

1 2 2 3 4 5 6 7 8

COE 9 10

LOCAL

ANNUAL MEASURABLE OUTCOMES

EXPECTED ACTUAL

Service Learning Activity Logs - Target Student Participation Rate – 70%

During 2018-19, Method did not implement the Service Learning Logs, but we did have notable student participation in our Service Learning Campaigns for: The Pepsi Recycling Rally, Koinonia Donation event, Solutions for Change, and independent student campaigns.

Student Information System Attendance Logs - Target ADA Percentage – 98%

With our Track B students Method was able to obtain 98% student attendance.

Professional Development Calendar and Teacher Attendance Logs - Create and Adopt Professional Development Calendar with 2 Offerings and 100% Teacher Attendance

During 2018-19, each Method staff member was awarded the opportunity to attend as many Professional Development modules/seminars as needed and we had 100% staff participation, with multiple staff members attending 2-3 Professional Development Seminars/Conferences.

ACTIONS / SERVICES

Duplicate the Actions/Services from the prior year LCAP and complete a copy of the following table for each. Duplicate the table as needed.

		_
Action		

	PLANNED	ACTUAL
	Implement curriculum components for Digital Literacy and Global Citizenship to increase student social and emotional intelligence.	Based on a format change to 100% online delivery, individual student mentors now counsel students in cases of character education and proper online etiquette and behavior in online forums and classes. Method also offered tile courses such as Men of Honor, Growth Mindset, and Changemakers to increase student social/emotional intelligence.
Actions/Services	Professional development modules on Digital Literacy and student engagement for increased student social and emotional intelligence.	Based on overall testing data from 17-18, the majority of PD, both on- and offsite was dedicated to overall student performance as well as teacher and administration development in order to achieve this goal.
	Fully implement Service Learning Program with increased partnerships with local organizations and nationwide charities.	2018-19 Service Learning Campaigns for: The Pepsi Recycling Rally, Koinonia, Fox in Socks, Deck the Halls, and Solutions for Change charitable events, as well as independent student campaigns were highlights of Method's Service Learning Program.
	BUDGETED	ESTIMATED ACTUAL
Expenditures	\$140,250 – per submitted LCAP.	\$150,000

ANALYSIS

Complete a copy of the following table for each of the LEA's goals from the prior year LCAP. Duplicate the table as needed.

Use actual annual measurable outcome data, including performance data from the LCFF Evaluation Rubrics, as applicable.

During 2018-19, Method implemented significant curriculum components that focused on Digital Literacy and Character Educator. Included among those were: Men of Honor, Growth Mindset, and Changemakers tiles which our students participated in while our teachers participated in school climate conferences, peer lead workshops and student outreach events.

Describe the overall implementation of the actions/services to achieve the articulated goal.

Method teachers attended a wide variety of Professional Development seminars and conferences focused on IS Learning, Integrating Technology, PBIS, & Digital Literacy.

2018-19 Service Learning Campaigns for: The Pepsi Recycling Rally, Koinonia, Fox in Socks, Deck the Halls, Solutions for Change and independent student campaigns.

We feel all of the components outlined above were very effective. As outlined above, during 2018-19, we did have notable student participation in our Service Learning Campaigns for: The Pepsi Recycling Rally, Koinonia event, Fox in Socks, Deck the Halls and independent student campaigns. Additionally, we maintained our current suspension status of "Blue" per the CDE Dashboard.

Describe the overall effectiveness of the actions/services to achieve the articulated goal as measured by the LEA.

With our Track B students Method was able to obtain 98% student attendance.

During 2018-19, each Method staff member was awarded the opportunity to attend as many Professional Development modules/seminars as needed and we had 100% staff participation, with multiple staff members attending 2-3 Professional Development Seminars/Conferences.

Explain material differences between Budgeted Expenditures and Estimated Actual Expenditures.

We did not have a material difference between Budgeted Expenditures and Actual Expenditures. During the 2018-19 year, we were able to successfully carry out, from an expenditure standpoint, the actions and services we intended to. Careful planning and strategic timing allowed Method to implement programs, carry out assessments, and attending trainings and developments as scheduled.

Describe any changes made to this goal, expected outcomes, metrics, or actions and services to achieve this goal as a result of this analysis and analysis of the LCFF Evaluation Rubrics, as applicable. Identify where those changes can be found in the LCAP.

There were no material changes made to this goal as it was originally outlined in our 2018-19 LCAP.

Goal 3

Focus and implement Career & College Readiness Skills for all students.

State and/or Local Priorities Addressed by this goal:

STATE $\boxtimes 1 \boxtimes 2 \boxtimes 3 \boxtimes 4 \boxtimes 5 \boxtimes 6 \boxtimes 7 \boxtimes 8$

COE □9 □10

LOCAL _____

ANNUAL MEASURABLE OUTCOMES

EXPECTED ACTUAL

CAASP & Local Assessments - Close Level 3 gap by 10 points in ELA and 30 points in Math.

College & Technical School Visitation Logs - 66% of students visit at least one College or Technical School.

Service Learning Activity Logs - Target Student Participation Rate - 70%

Based on the Fall 2018 Dashboard, Method students fell by 8.3 points and 6.1 points in ELA and Mathematics respectively.

During the course of the year, Method hosted events in which student could meet with local college representatives.

During 2018-19, Method did not implement the Service Learning Logs, but we did see significant student participation in our Service Learning Campaigns.

ACTIONS / SERVICES

Duplicate the Actions/Services from the prior year LCAP and complete a copy of the following table for each. Duplicate the table as needed.

Actio

3

Actions/Services

PLANNED

Increased college campus and/or technical school visits thru increased partnerships with universities, vocational schools and other career programs.

ACTUAL

During the course of the year, we provided opportunities for students to meet with local colleges.

	Provide course offerings designed for College & Career Readiness thru Method's Explorations Programs. Courses will focus on CTE technical skills, robotics, engineering and graphic design. Provide college and career counseling services for students and families.	Method enhanced our curriculum offering by providing students the opportunity to take course focused on CTE Skills through computer science, graphic design, robotics, and engineering coursework. During 2018-19, Method provided families with college and career counseling through a combination of internal and external services – staff members and college counselors were readily available to students and families.
	BUDGETED	ESTIMATED ACTUAL
Expenditures	\$118,500 – per submitted LCAP.	\$120,000

ANALYSIS

E

Complete a copy of the following table for each of the LEA's goals from the prior year LCAP. Duplicate the table as needed.

Use actual annual measurable outcome data, including performance data from the LCFF Evaluation Rubrics, as applicable.

During the 2018-19 school year Method carried out targeted student assessments frequently throughout the year for a total of (6) times during the academic year. For 2019-20 Method will continue to analyze our internal assessments and aligned these assessments to preparing our students for the Smarter Balance and CAASSP assessments.

Describe the overall implementation of the actions/services to achieve the articulated goal.

During the course of the year, we hosted technical and college information sessions at the Method campus.

During 2018-19, Method did not implement the Service Learning Logs, but we did have increased student participation in our Service Learning Campaigns for: The Pepsi Recycling Rally, Solutions for Change, Koinonia, and independent student campaigns.

Describe the overall effectiveness of the actions/services to achieve the articulated goal as measured by the LEA.

We feel both the RenStar program (and subsequent assessment schedule) and T.D.I intervention model are very effective in each of their designed scopes. By testing students for comprehension at the very start of the year, we are able to accurately gauge and measure progress during the year because we chart precisely where the students started. Moreover, by conducting assessments each month we are able to monitor continual progress vs. expected progress; which then guides our T.D.I. interventions. For 2019-20 Method will continue to analyze our internal assessments and aligned these assessments to preparing our students for the Smarter Balance and CAASSP assessments.

Explain material differences between Budgeted Expenditures and Estimated Actual Expenditures.

We did not have a material difference between Budgeted Expenditures and Actual Expenditures. During the 2018-19 year, we were able to successfully carry out, from an expenditure standpoint, the actions and services we intended to. Careful planning and strategic timing allowed Method to implement programs, carry out assessments, and attending trainings and developments as scheduled.

Describe any changes made to this goal, expected outcomes, metrics, or actions and services to achieve this goal as a result of this analysis and analysis of the LCFF Evaluation Rubrics, as applicable. Identify where those changes can be found in the LCAP.

There were no material changes made to this goal as it was originally outlined in our 2017-18 LCAP.

Stakeholder Engagement

LCAP Year	☐ 2017–18	9–20
-----------	-----------	------

INVOLVEMENT PROCESS FOR LCAP AND ANNUAL UPDATE

How, when, and with whom did the LEA consult as part of the planning process for this LCAP/Annual Review and Analysis?

Method Schools is a charter school in Southern California chartered thru Dehesa Elementary School District in San Diego County. Established in 2014, Method is a non-classroom based program that serves students thru a highly differentiated instructional program. Method students participate in a rich, independent study project based experiential learning environment.

For our 2019-20 LCAP we made a concentrated effort to seek input from as many of our stakeholders as possible. As a result, parents, students, staff and our Governing Board all participated in the annual review process. Parents, students and staff each participated in individualized surveys designed for their specific perspective. Each survey contained between 20-25 unique questions for the designed target audience as well as 10 questions that were the same across all surveys. This allowed Method to receive individualized feedback from the targeted questions as well as compare the results of common questions asked to each stakeholder group. The use of individualized surveys, with stakeholder-targeted questions, enabled Method to recognize gaps in program or services particularly in the areas of assessment, student achievement, and school culture. Moreover, because each survey contained common questions for each stakeholder group Method was able to identify any inconsistencies across our stakeholders.

Method received even further input from Parents and Staff during focus groups that were held for each individual stakeholder group. After the survey results were analyzed, we invited stakeholders back for an open-ended focus group in which goals and strategies were discussed based on the results of each stakeholder group's survey. Although not a significant sub-group, EL (English Learner) parents were invited to discuss concerns their specific concerns.

As a result, our multi-faceted outreach to stakeholders revealed common themes and LCAP focus areas.

The following represents the timeline and Involvement, along with the impact on the LCAP.

July 2018: Method administrative develops and delivers survey at the beginning of the school year to gauge satisfaction with Method schools. Surveys were given to parents and students and contained targeted questions design to address concerns or further areas of focus for the upcoming 2019-20 fiscal year.

April 2019: Administrative staff, teachers and outside consultant work together to draft and develop LCAP specific surveys for individual stakeholder groups. Individual surveys are designed for the following stakeholder groups: students, parents, and teachers. Surveys are distributed to targeted stakeholder groups.

May 2019: Survey results are collected and reviewed by administrative staff, teachers and outside consultant. Significant time is spent analyzed survey results to find commonalities and inconsistencies across each stakeholder group. Parents are invited to attend a specifically designed focus group led by Method Administrators and outside consultant. Topics and prospective goals for discussion are derived from the results of the parent and student surveys. Once the parent focus group is conducted, lead teachers and other faculty attend focus group led by Method administrators and outside consultant.

June 2019: Administrative staff, teachers and outside consultant develop initial draft of LCAP based on results from surveys and focus groups. Initial LCAP draft is presented at June 5th board meeting. LCAP is further developed, reviewed and finalized over the course of the next week by administrative staff and outside consultant. Final LCAP presented for adoption at June 11th, 2019 board meeting.

IMPACT ON LCAP AND ANNUAL UPDATE

How did these consultations impact the LCAP for the upcoming year?

This 2019-20 LCAP was developed as a direct result of the input and comments received from the student, parent and staff engagement. As outlined above, thru the targeted surveys and individualized focus group, we were able to gain an enhanced knowledge and understanding of our stakeholder's priorities.

Stakeholder participation throughout the entire LCAP process allowed for greater understanding and alignment of student, staff and parent needs. By designing our surveys to include a small set of duplicate questions for each targeted group, we were able to see common themes and LCAP focus areas emerge in collected data.

These include common focus areas include increased parent communication, development of additional enrichment opportunities for students, increased emphasis on student citizenship and personal (behavioral) development, extracurricular activity developing including STEM, Career & Technical Skills and visual and performing arts, and increased parent communication.

Staff data included the need for additional support in the implementation of CCCSS, Science, use of current technology tools, and technology integration. In addition, priorities were also developed based upon the common themes listed above along with new data from the district wide benchmark assessments showing a lack of student proficiency in CCCSS across the grade levels, current district goals and the state priority areas.

Goals, Actions, & Services

Strategic Planning Details and Accountability

Complete a copy of the following table for each of the LEA's goals. Duplicate the table as needed.

	□ New		☐ Unchanged
Goal 1	By 6/1/2020, 80% of M Arts and Math.	Method Schools students will pe	form at grade level based on formative assessments in Language

State and/or Local Priorities Addressed by this goal:

STATE	⊠ 1	⊠ 2	⊠ 3	⊠ 4	⊠ 5	⊠ 6	⊠ 7	⊠ 8
COE	□ 9	□ 10						
LOCAL								

Identified Need

We acknowledge that we have areas for improvement and existing performance gaps. Across all demographic groups, students are performing at Level 2 (Orange), which is below the expected "met" standard. The goal is to have students at Level 3 (Yellow) level in both English Language Arts and Math.

EXPECTED ANNUAL MEASURABLE OUTCOMES

Metrics/Indicators	Baseline	2017-18	2018-19	2019-20	
CAASP & Local Assessments	2016-17 Students are performing below Level 4 by 18.8 points in ELA and 64.3 in points Math.	Close Level 3 gap by 10 points in ELA and 20 points in Math.	Close Level 3 gap by 10 points in ELA and 30 points in Math.	Narrow Level 3 gap by 10 points in ELA and 20 points in Math.	
Adopted Teacher Evaluations System	Create and adopt Teacher evaluation system based CA Teacher Standards. I	80% of Teachers will not have "Needs Improvement" on Teacher Evaluations.	85% of Teachers will not have "Needs Improvement" on Teacher Evaluations.	90% of Teachers will not have "Needs Improvement" on Teacher Evaluations.	

CA State Highly Qualified Rating

100% of Teachers classified as highly qualified thru ESSA Requirements.

100% of Teachers classified as highly qualified thru ESSA Requirements. 100% of Teachers classified as highly qualified thru ESSA Requirements.

100% of Teachers classified as highly qualified thru ESSA Requirements.

PLANNED ACTIONS / SERVICES

Complete a copy of the following table for each of the LEA's Actions/Services. Duplicate the table, including Budgeted Expenditures, as needed.

Action	1					
For Actions	/Services not i	ncluded as contributir	ng to meeting	the Increased or Improved Serv	vices Requiremen	t:
Students	s to be Served		s with Disabiliti	es Specific Student Group(s	<u>s)]</u>	
	Location(s)		Specific School	ols: 🔲 S _l	pecific Grade spans	:
				OR		
For Actions	/Services inclu	ided as contributing to	meeting the	Increased or Improved Service	s Requirement:	
Students	s to be Served	☐ English Learners	☐ Foster Y	outh Low Income		
	Scope of Services					
	Location(s)	⊠ All schools □	Specific Schoo	ols: S _l	pecific Grade spans	:
ACTIONS/SE	<u>ERVICES</u>					
2017-18			2018-19		2019-20	
	Modified 🔲	Unchanged	☐ New ⊠	Modified Unchanged		Modified Unchanged
assessmen	Student assessments conducted thru RenStar assessment program a total of four (4) assessments during the year. Student assessments conducted internally RenStar a total of nine (9) times and Smarter Balance Assessments throughout the year. Student assessments conducted internally RenStar a total of nine (9) times and Smarter Balance Assessments throughout the year.				rterly and practice Smarter	
BUDGETED	EXPENDITURI	<u>ES</u>				
2017-18			2018-19		2019-20	
Amount	\$42,500.00		Amount	\$46,750.00	Amount	\$125,000.00
Source	Unrestricted	– LCFF	Source	Unrestricted – LCFF	Source	Unrestricted – LCFF
Budget Reference	4100 – Core Components		Budget Reference	4100 – Core Curriculum Components	Budget Reference	4100 – Core Curriculum Components

Α	cti	or	า	2

For Actions	For Actions/Services not included as contributing to meeting the Increased or Improved Services Requirement:								
Students	to be Served	⊠ AII [Students	with Disabilitie	es [Specific	Student Group(s)]			
	Location(s)	⊠ All school	ols 🔲 :	Specific Schoo	ls:	Specific	c Grade spans	:	
	OR								
For Actions	For Actions/Services included as contributing to meeting the Increased or Improved Services Requirement:								
Students	s to be Served	☐ English L	_earners	☐ Foster Y	outh	Income			
	Scope of Services								
	Location(s) ☐ All schools ☐ Specific Schools: ☐ Specific Grade spans: ☐ Spe								
ACTIONS/SERVICES									
2017-18 2			2018-19			2019-20	2019-20		
⊠ New □	Modified	Unchanged		☐ New ☐ Modified ☒ Unchanged		☐ New ☐ Modified ☒ Unchanged			
_	irect Instructio s key instructi	•		Targeted Direct Instruction (T.D.I.) will be continued as key instruction component.		Targeted Direct Instruction (T.D.I.) will be continued as key instruction component.			
BUDGETED	EXPENDITURI	<u>ES</u>							
2017-18				2018-19			2019-20		
Amount	\$150,000.00			Amount	\$165,000.00		Amount	\$250,000.00	
Source	Unrestricted	- LCFF		Source	Unrestricted - L	CFF	Source	Unrestricted - LCFF	
Budget Reference	1100 - Teac	her Salaries	i	Budget Reference	1100 – Teacher	· Salaries	Budget Reference	1100 – Teacher Salaries	

А	ct	IO	n	- 4
	•		• •	-

For Actions	/Services not	included as contribu	ting to meeting	the Increased or Im	proved Services	Requiremen	t:
Students	to be Served	⊠ All ☐ Stude	nts with Disabilit	es [Specific Stu	dent Group(s)]		
	Location(s)		Specific School	ols:	Specific	c Grade spans	:
	OR						
For Actions	For Actions/Services included as contributing to meeting the Increased or Improved Services Requirement:						
Students	to be Served	☐ English Learners	☐ Foster \	outh Low Inc	ome		
	Scope of S	Services EA-wid	le Schoo	olwide OR [Limited to Undu	plicated Stude	nt Group(s)
	Location(s)						·
ACTIONS/SERVICES							
2017-18			2018-19			2019-20	
⊠ New □	Modified	Unchanged	☐ New ☐	Modified 🛛 Uncha	nged	☐ New ☐	Modified Dunchanged
refinement	of Teacher Ev	t for teachers and aluations for ility to CA Standard	refinement	Professional development for teachers and refinement of Teacher Evaluations for alignment and accountability to CA Standards. Professional development for teachers refinement of Teacher Evaluations for alignment and accountability to CA Standards.			of Teacher Evaluations for
BUDGETED	EXPENDITUR	<u>ES</u>					
2017-18			2018-19			2019-20	
Amount	\$10,000.00		Amount	\$11,000.00		Amount	\$25,000.00
Source	Unrestricted	- LCFF	Source	Unrestricted - LCF	F	Source	Unrestricted - LCFF
Budget Reference	5800 – Profe Fees	ssional /Consulting	Budget Reference	5800 – Profession Fees	al /Consulting	Budget Reference	5800 – Professional /Consulting Fees

	New	Unchanged
Goal 2	Create extended opportunity of the control of the c	gement, regular attendance, and overall achievement in order to

State and/or Local Priorities Addressed by this goal:

STATE	⊠ 1	⊠ 2	⊠ 3	⊠ 4	⊠ 5	⊠ 6	⊠ 7	⊠ 8	
COE	□ 9	□ 10							
LOCAL									

Identified Need

This need was identified during our Stakeholder Engagement, as the teaching and enhancement of student's social and emotional intelligence were significant discussion items. Method parents and teachers both stressed the need for increased Digital Literacy and Global Citizenship development for students.

EXPECTED ANNUAL MEASURABLE OUTCOMES

Metrics/Indicators	Baseline	Baseline 2017-18		2019-20
Service Learning Activity Logs	2016-17 Student Participation in Service Learning Activities was 50%	Target Student Participation Rate – 60%	Target Student Participation Rate – 70%	Target Student Participation Rate – 85%
Student Information System Attendance Logs	2016-17 ADA Percentage was 96%	Target ADA Percentage – 97%	Target ADA Percentage – 98%	Target ADA Percentage – 99%
Professional Development Calendar and Teacher Attendance Logs	Create and Adopt Professional Development Calendar with 2 Offerings and 80% Teacher Attendance	Create and Adopt Professional Development Calendar with 2 Offerings and 95% Teacher Attendance	Create and Adopt Professional Development Calendar with 2 Offerings and 100% Teacher Attendance	Create and Adopt Professional Development Calendar with 3 Offerings and 100% Teacher Attendance

PLANNED ACTIONS / SERVICES

Complete a copy of the following table for each of the LEA's Actions/Services. Duplicate the table, including Budgeted Expenditures, as needed.

Action	1								
For Actions	For Actions/Services not included as contributing to meeting the Increased or Improved Services Requirement:								
Student	s to be Served	ved ☐ Students with Disabilities ☐ [Specific Student Group(s)]							
	Location(s)		Specific School	ols:	Specific	c Grade spans	:		
				OR					
For Actions	s/Services inclu	uded as contributing t	o meeting the	Increased or Impl	roved Services Red	quirement:			
Student	Students to be Served								
Scope of Services									
Location(s)									
ACTIONS/S	ACTIONS/SERVICES								
2017-18			2018-19			2019-20			
⊠ New □	Modified	Unchanged	☐ New ☐	☐ New ☐ Modified ☐ Unchanged			Modified Unchanged		
Literacy an	d Global Citize	nponents for Digital enship to increase anal intelligence.	Character E	curriculum for Dig Education and Glo ocial and emotiona	bal Citizenship to		en of Honor, Changemakers, and ndset tile course opportunities to s.		
BUDGETED	EXPENDITURI	<u>ES</u>							
2017-18			2018-19			2019-20			
Amount	\$77,500.00		Amount	\$85,250.00		Amount	\$50,000.00		
Source	Unrestricted	- LCFF	Source	Unrestricted - LO	CFF	Source	Unrestricted - LCFF		
Budget Reference		her Salaries / 4100 – lum Components	Budget Reference	1100 – Teacher Core Curriculum	Salaries / 4100 – Components	Budget Reference	1100 – Teacher Salaries / 4100 – Core Curriculum Components		

^			
А	ct	ion	

For Actions	For Actions/Services not included as contributing to meeting the Increased or Improved Services Requirement:						
Students	to be Served		s with Disabiliti	es Specific Student Gro	oup(s)] Und	duplicated Stu	ident Group(s).
	Location(s)		Specific School	ols:	Specific	Grade spans	:
				OR			
For Actions	/Services inclu	uded as contributing to	meeting the	Increased or Improved Serv	vices Req	juirement:	
Students	to be Served	☐ English Learners	☐ Foster Y	outh			
	Scope of S	Services \(\sum \text{LEA-wide}	☐ Schoo	wide OR Limited	d to Undup	olicated Stude	nt Group(s)
	Location(s)	⊠ All schools □	Specific School	ols:	Specific	Grade spans	·
ACTIONS/SERVICES							
2017-18			2018-19			2019-20	
⊠ New □	Modified	Unchanged	☐ New ☐	☐ New ☐ Modified ☐ Unchanged			Modified 🛛 Unchanged
Literacy and	d student enga tudent social a	t modules on Digital agement for and emotional	Literacy, Ch	Il development modules on naracter Education and stud It for increased student soci ntelligence.	ent	Digital Liter student eng	al development modules on cacy, Character Education and gagement for increased student emotional intelligence.
BUDGETED	EXPENDITUR	<u>ES</u>					
2017-18			2018-19			2019-20	
Amount	\$5,000.00		Amount	\$5,500.00		Amount	\$25,000.00
Source	Unrestricted	- LCFF	Source	Unrestricted - LCFF		Source	Unrestricted - LCFF
Budget Reference	5800 – Profe Fees	ssional /Consulting	Budget Reference	5800 – Professional /Cons Fees	ulting	Budget Reference	5800 – Professional /Consulting Fees

Д	Ct.	ion	
٠,	Ot	011	

For Actions	Services not i	included as contr	ibuting to m	eeting	the Increased or	r Improved	Services	Requiremen	t:
Students	to be Served	⊠ All □ Stu	udents with D	Disabiliti	es [Specific	Student Gr	oup(s)]		
	Location(s)		☐ Specifi	c Schoo	ols:		Specific	Grade spans	:
	OR								
For Actions	Services inclu	uded as contribut	ing to meet	ing the	Increased or Im	proved Ser	rvices Red	quirement:	
Students	to be Served	☐ English Learn	ers 🗌 F	oster Y	outh	Income			
	Scope of S	Services LEA-	wide] Schoo	lwide OR	Limite	ed to Undup	olicated Stude	nt Group(s)
	Location(s)		☐ Specifi	c Schoo	ols:		Specific	Grade spans	:
ACTIONS/SERVICES									
2017-18	2017-18 2018-19 2019-20								
⊠ New □	Modified	Unchanged	□N	ew 🗌	Modified 🛛 Ur	nchanged		☐ New ☐	Modified 🛛 Unchanged
with increas	nent Service L ed partnershipns and nationv		with	increas	ment Service Leased partnerships and nationwice	with local		with increas	ment Service Learning Program sed partnerships with local ns and nationwide charities.
BUDGETED	EXPENDITUR	<u>ES</u>							
2017-18			2018	-19				2019-20	
Amount	\$45,000.00		Amou	unt	\$49,500.00			Amount	\$50,000.00
Source	Unrestricted	- LCFF	Source	ce	Unrestricted - L	_CFF		Source	Unrestricted - LCFF
Budget Reference		her Salaries / 580 / Consulting Fee			1100 – Teache Professional / 0			Budget Reference	1100 – Teacher Salaries / 5800 – Professional / Consulting Fees

	⊠ New [Modified	Unchanged					
Goal 3	Refine all virtual practices, including online curriculum and tools, instructional support and interventions, and enhanced opportunities for extended and mobile learning.							
State and/or Local Priorit goal:	•	COE	⊠4 ⊠5 ⊠6 ⊠7 ⊠8					
Identified Need		LOCAL						
EXPECTED ANNUAL M	EASURABLE OUTCOMES							
Metrics/Indicators	Baseline	2017-18	2018-19	2019-20				
Enhance Curriculum	Development of SmartFo LMS, with NCUA courses for all courses		Continued development of SmartFox LMS	SmartFox fully complete and implemented				

Enhance Curriculum	Development of SmartFox LMS, with NCUA courses for all courses	Continued development of SmartFox LMS	SmartFox fully complete and implemented
Increase professional development opportunities for delivery of online material	2 PD opportunities per month, weekly inservice training for teaching staff	Teachers attend conferences geared toward online teacher effectiveness and virtual course delivery	80% staff participation in professional development opportunities geared toward online teacher effectivness
Enhanced Opportunities for Extended and Mobile Learning	Tiles, field trips	Tile opportunities for students including Men of Honor, Growth Mindset, and Changemakers	Extended learning tiles to continue and implementation of mobile STEM lab

PLANNED ACTIONS / SERVICES

Complete a copy of the following table for each of the LEA's Actions/Services. Duplicate the table, including Budgeted Expenditures, as needed.

Action *	1								
For Actions/Services not included as contributing to meeting the Increased or Improved Services Requirement:									
Students	Students to be Served All Students with Disabilities [Specific Student Group(s)] Student Grades 6-12								
	Location(s)								
				OR					
For Actions	/Services include	ed as contributino	g to meeting the	Increased or Improved Services F	Requirement:				
Students	s to be Served	☐ English Learners	Foster Y	outh Low Income					
	Scope of Ser	vices LEA-wi	de Schoo	lwide OR Limited to Un	duplicated Stude	ent Group(s)			
	Location(s)	All schools	Specific School	ols: Spec	ific Grade spans	S:			
ACTIONS/SI	ERVICES								
2017-18			2018-19		2019-20				
⊠ New □	Modified Ur	nchanged	☐ New ☐	Modified 🛛 Unchanged	⊠ New □	Modified Unchanged			
visits thru inc		d/or technical schoolips with universities areer programs.	s, visits thru ind	lege campus and/or technical school reased partnerships with universities, hools and other career programs. Continued development and improvement of coursework within our proprietary learning management system.					
	EXPENDITURES			i U		•			
2017-18			2018-19		2019-20				
Amount	\$7,500.00		Amount	\$8,250.00	Amount	\$1,675,000.00			
Source	Restricted - CF	RBG	Source	Restricted - CRBG	Source	Unrestricted - LCFF			
Budget Reference	5800 – Profess Fees	sional /Consulting	Budget Reference	5800 – Professional /Consulting Fees	Budget Reference	1100 – Teacher Salaries / 4100 – Core Curriculum Component / 5800 – Professional /Consulting			

A .		
Act	ion	

For Actions/Services not included as contributing to meeting the Increased or Improved Services Requirement:									
Students	lents to be Served								
	Location(s)	⊠ All so	chools \Box	Specific Scho	ols:		Specific	c Grade spans	:
						OR			
For Actions	For Actions/Services included as contributing to meeting the Increased or Improved Services Requirement:								
Students	s to be Served	☐ Engli	ish Learners	☐ Foster \	Youth	Low	Income		
	Scope of S	<u>Services</u>	□ LEA-wide	☐ Schoo	olwide	OR	Limited to Undu	plicated Stude	nt Group(s)
	Location(s)	⊠ All so	chools	Specific Scho	ols:		Specific	c Grade spans	:
ACTIONS/SE	ERVICES								
2017-18				2018-19				2019-20	
⊠ New □	Modified	Unchange	ed	☐ New ☐	Modified	d 🛭 Und	changed	⊠ New □	Modified Unchanged
Provide course offerings designed for College & Career Readiness thru Method's Explorations Programs. Courses will focus on CTE technical skills, robotics, engineering and graphic design. Provide course offerings designed for College & Career Readiness thru Method's Explorations Programs. Courses will focus on CTE technical skills, robotics, engineering and graphic design. Increase PD opportunities for teaching staff through conferences, workshops, webinars, in pursuance of AdvanceED Accreditation for skills, robotics, engineering and graphic design.						ferences, workshops, webinars, in if AdvanceED Accreditation for			
BUDGETED	EXPENDITUR	<u>ES</u>			_		-		
2017-18				2018-19				2019-20	
Amount	\$52,500.00			Amount	\$57,75	0.00		Amount	\$25,000.00
Source	Unrestricted	- LCFF		Source	Unrest	ricted - L	CFF	Source	Unrestricted - LCFF
Budget Reference	1100 – Teac Core Curricu			Budget Reference			Salaries / 4100 – n Components	Budget Reference	1100 – Teacher Salaries / 5800 – Professional Development

	2.5		
A٢	ctic	n	
	,,,,		-

For Actions/Services not included as contributing to meeting the Increased or Improved Services Requirement:									
Students	s to be Served All Students with Disabilities [Specific Student Group(s)] Unduplicated Student Group(s)								
	Location(s)	⊠ All sc	chools \Box	Specific School	ols:		☐ Specific	Grade spans	:
	OR								
For Actions	/Services inclu	uded as o	contributing to	meeting the	Increased o	r Improved Se	ervices Red	quirement:	
Students	to be Served	☐ Engli	sh Learners	☐ Foster Y	outh	Low Income			
	Scope of S	Services	∠ LEA-wide	Schoo	lwide OF	R Limit	ed to Undu	plicated Stude	nt Group(s)
	Location(s)	⊠ All sc	chools	Specific Schoo	ols:		☐ Specific	Grade spans	·
ACTIONS/SE	<u>ERVICES</u>								
2017-18				2018-19				2019-20	
⊠ New □	Modified	Unchange	ed	☐ New ☐	Modified [Unchanged		⊠ New □	Modified Unchanged
Provide college and career counseling services for students and families.			Provide college and career counseling services for students and families.			Increase opportunities for extended mobile and STEM learning, through outreach events, establishment of a mobile STEM lab, unique tile course offerings, and various outreach events.			
BUDGETED	EXPENDITUR	<u>ES</u>							
2017-18 2018				2018-19	2018-19		2019-20		
Amount	\$47,500.00			Amount	\$52,500.00			Amount	\$125,000.00
Source	Restricted - 0	CRBG		Source	Restricted	- CRBG		Source	Unrestricted - LCFF
Budget Reference	1200 – Coun	selor Sa	laries	Budget Reference	1200 – Cou	unselor Salarie	es	Budget Reference	1100 – Teacher Salaries / 4100 – Core Curriculum Components

Demonstration of Increased or Improved Services for Unduplicated Pupils

LCAP Year	□ 2017–18 □ 2018–19 □ 2019–20			
Estimated Sup Funds:	plemental and Concentration Grant	\$3,853,155	Percentage to Increase or Improve Services:	10.51%

Describe how services provided for unduplicated pupils are increased or improved by at least the percentage identified above, either qualitatively or quantitatively, as compared to services provided for all students in the LCAP year.

Identify each action/service being funded and provided on an schoolwide or LEA-wide basis. Include the required descriptions supporting each schoolwide or LEA-wide use of funds (see instructions).

Although not a significant percentage of our current student population, Method Schools is committed to the vision and mission it has set for all students and recognize the (additional) support our EL, Low-Income and Foster Youth students may need. This LCAP provides a program for all students, design such that every student becomes college and career ready by the time they exit Method. In order to ensure that our EL, Low-Income and Foster Youth students are provided with opportunities achieve at high levels, school supports have been incorporated throughout the LCAP. As a result, funds have been specifically allocated for personnel, instructional materials, technological equipment and other supplemental resources.

These supports include (specifically designated) certificated staff to support targeted instruction, opportunities for full parent involvement, and access to technological resources both at home and at school. For 2019-20, Method is planning to develop a Data Analyst and Academic Improvement Manager position to provide further targeted instruction. The goal of these positions is to determine our greatest opportunities for growth and to provide additional support for our EL, Low-Income and Foster Youth students in an effort to help bridge the achievement gap that these students may face. This Academic Improvement Manager position will work in a pull out and push in capacity, utilizing online classroom resources as well as supplemental resources to provide support for these students. This intervention strategy, called Targeted Direct Instruction (T.D.I.), is already a key component of Method's instructional model. For 2019-20, we will be enhancing and tailoring this component specifically for our EL, Low-Income and Foster Youth students.

Moreover, virtual summer school courses have been developed and will be offered for our EL, Low-Income and Foster Youth students that are behind in core subject comprehension. These additional courses are designed to provide supplemental instructional time for our targeted students outside of the traditional school year as we strive to bring all students to grade level comprehension. Furthermore, a variety of interactive digital resources will continue to be provided to support the individual needs of the EL, Low-Income and Foster Youth students in

achieving the Common Core and 21st Century Skills requirements.

Method Schools will continue to analyze and adjust the supplemental offerings provided to our EL, Low-Income and Foster Youth based on assessments and increases in student achievement in upcoming LCAP years.